

Recek, Jason D.

From: Kendrick Lo [klo@bereskinparr.com]

Sent: Friday, June 27, 2008 5:11 PM

To: Recek, Jason D.

Subject: Interview Agenda: July 2, 4pm Application No. 10/786,018 (Our Ref: 13210-24, 11652-US-PAT)

Dear Mr. Recek:

Thank you for confirming our interview on Wednesday, July 2nd at 4pm. I will call you at the phone number of your SPE as indicated in the office action, as requested.

The issues that the Applicants would like me to discuss with you to expedite prosecution of the application deal with the remaining substantive rejection under S. 103, and are as follows:

For Discussion Purposes Only

1. The relied-upon art does not teach "identify patterns in said input data and said output data to suggest that an input to a first web service... is obtainable from output of a second web service of said plurality of web services"

- "said input data and said output data" in the monitoring step of the Claim 1 refers to the data used/displayed during invocations of web services through a user interface as claimed in the preceding providing step – therefore, a proper construction of "identify patterns in said input data and said output data" requires that the **data used/displayed during actual web service invocations be used as the basis for pattern identification**, which Arellano does not teach

2. In the absence of the Applicants' teachings, the stated motivation to combine the teachings of Arellano and Healey, even in combination with the known process of "reverse engineering" would not lead the ordinary skilled person to the claimed subject matter

- The OA states that one of ordinary skill in the art would modify Arellano to analyze input data because it is "natural to study what is acceptable" and the results to determine a web service. However, in absence of the Applicants' teachings, there is no teaching of what would be considered "acceptable", and why Arellano would look to study inputs/outputs at all when it is only concerned with the study of ontological descriptions in considering whether services could or should be co-ordinated.
- The ordinary skilled person considering the cited references would be more likely to consider (or "reverse-engineer") the text of existing web service descriptions themselves rather than the actual user consumption of web services to be relevant to the aggregation process, because the former provides more "predictable" and consistent results. A number of observations regarding the Applicants' claimed embodiments in this regard will be discussed.

I look forward to speaking with you on Wednesday.

Regards,
Kendrick

Bereskin & Parr

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

Kendrick Lo

B.A.Sc. (Eng. Sci.), MBA, J.D.

Barrister & Solicitor

Patent Agent

7/2/2008